

IN THE MATTER OF:

A COMPLAINT OF ALLEGED UNJUST DISMISSAL UNDER DIVISION
XIV - PART III, SECTION 240 OF THE *CANADA LABOUR CODE*,
R.S.C. 1985, c. L-2

BETWEEN:

Darrel D. Seib,

COMPLAINANT,

- and -

Yellow Quill First Nation,

RESPONDENT.

ADJUDICATOR'S DECISION
November 7, 2017

T. F. (TED) KOSKIE, B.Sc., J.D.

REPRESENTATIVES:

Heather Franklin, for the Complainant, Darrel D. Seib

Bruce J. Slusar, for the Respondent, Yellow Quill First Nation

[1] Darrel D. Seib ("Seib") lodged a complaint (the "Complaint") pursuant to section 240 of the *Canada Labour Code*, Part III (the "Code") alleging that Yellow Quill First Nation ("YQFN") unjustly dismissed him from his employment effective October 8, 2015.

[2] YQFN took issue with the Complaint.

[3] The Minister of Labour (Canada) appointed me to hear and determine the Complaint.

[4] The relevant provisions of the *Code* are:

Complaint to inspector for unjust dismissal

240(1) Subject to subsections (2) and 242(3.1), any person

- (a) who has completed twelve consecutive months of continuous employment by an employer, and
- (b) who is not a member of a group of employees subject to a collective agreement,

may make a complaint in writing to an inspector if the employee has been dismissed and considers the dismissal to be unjust.

Time for making complaint

(2) Subject to subsection (3), a complaint under subsection (1) shall be made within ninety days from the date on which the person making the complaint was dismissed.

Extension of time

(3) The Minister may extend the period of time referred to in subsection (2) where the Minister is satisfied that a complaint was made in that period to a government official who had no authority to deal with the complaint but that the person making the complaint believed the official had that authority.

...

Reference to adjudicator

242(1) The Minister may, on receipt of a report pursuant to subsection 241(3), appoint any person that the Minister considers appropriate as an adjudicator to hear and adjudicate on the complaint in respect of which the report was made, and refer the complaint to the adjudicator along with any statement provided pursuant to subsection 241(1).

Powers of adjudicator

- (2) An adjudicator to whom a complaint has been referred under subsection (1)
 - (a) shall consider the complaint within such time as the Governor in Council may by

regulation prescribe;

- (b) shall determine the procedure to be followed, but shall give full opportunity to the parties to the complaint to present evidence and make submissions to the adjudicator and shall consider the information relating to the complaint; and
- (c) has, in relation to any complaint before the adjudicator, the powers conferred on the Canada Industrial Relations Board, in relation to any proceeding before the Board, under paragraphs 16(a), (b) and (c).

Decision of adjudicator

(3) Subject to subsection (3.1), an adjudicator to whom a complaint has been referred under subsection (1) shall

- (a) consider whether the dismissal of the person who made the complaint was unjust and render a decision thereon; and
- (b) send a copy of the decision with the reasons therefor to each party to the complaint and to the Minister.

Limitation on complaints

(3.1) No complaint shall be considered by an adjudicator under subsection (3) in respect of a person where

- (a) that person has been laid off because of lack of work or because of the discontinuance of a function; or
- (b) a procedure for redress has been provided elsewhere in or under this or any other Act of Parliament.

Where unjust dismissal

(4) Where an adjudicator decides pursuant to subsection (3) that a person has been unjustly dismissed, the adjudicator may, by order, require the employer who dismissed the person to

- (a) pay the person compensation not exceeding the amount of money that is equivalent to the remuneration that would, but for the dismissal, have been paid by the employer to the person;
- (b) reinstate the person in his employ; and
- (c) do any other like thing that it is equitable to require the employer to do in order to remedy or counteract any consequence of the dismissal.

[5] Prior to scheduling a hearing of this matter, the parties notified me that they had negotiated a settlement of the Complaint.

[6] Because of the above, I dismiss Seib's complaint.

[7] Under the circumstances, I do not believe this is an appropriate case to award

costs and I decline to do so.

Dated at Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, on November 7, 2017.



T. F. (TED)KOSKIE, B.Sc., J.D.,
ADJUDICATOR